Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/031,835	HUGHES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David M. Naff	1651
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>David M. Naff</u> .	(3)	
(2) Shiela A. Loggins.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8 June 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112		
Claims discussed: 15		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Applicants authorized suggested amendments to claim 15 that put		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	anatura if anneanciata)
(Applicant)	whhireaur a wehicacurarise of	gnature – ii appropriate)